

Meeting Minutes Revision Requested

Dear ZBA,

I am requesting a change in the hearing minutes for February 2, 2021 (Ben's Pure Maple Products, LLC proposed facility). There are a few concerns with the accuracy and intention of specific comments from the ZBA board.

"Kieley commented on the state provided traffic study and noted the traffic count reflected a maple syrup based business, not an expanded retail business which is a gap, and he noted he wanted to make sure the state has all the information regarding the type of business and the likely traffic counts. "

– Minutes 2/02/2021

On November 16, 2020 Steven Pernaw submitted a memorandum that included this statement.

"Executive Summary - It is my professional opinion as a Professional Traffic Operations Engineer (#399) and NH licensed Professional Engineer (#5234) that the existing NH101/NH45/Webster Highway intersection will continue to provide reasonably safe and efficient vehicular access to/from Webster Highway through the 2032 horizon year with the relocated **Ben's Sugar Shack production facility (with small market) on Webster Highway**. We find no compelling reason to modify the State highway as a result of the proposed development. I base this opinion on the results of our fieldwork, evaluation of the anticipated traffic volumes at the subject intersection, several publications in our technical library, my postgraduate education, and over 30 years of civil engineering experience."

"the proposed development involves the construction of a new 16,080 sf building that will contain a **new production facility for maple syrup and a small market area (3,000 sf)** for the sale of maple and food products."

This error by the chairman can have a drastic impact of opinion on other board members and town residents. Since the meeting minutes were published it seems that several residents have brought up John Kieley's concern but this concern is not factual and seems to be a purposeful misrepresentation. This one memorandum document alone stated multiple times that the traffic studies included a production facility **and** a retail market. There are other documents to NH DOT that included all the studies involving the proposed facility and retail market. All project information was forwarded and included visuals

showing the 3000 square foot retail space. This should be corrected immediately to ensure only facts are presented and personal assumptions do not take precedent.

Next I wanted to make sure the minutes reflect correct information regarding those who were present for the February 2, 2021 meeting. It states Silas Little as the attorney for abutters. Please make note that there is no abutter that is in opposition of the proposed facility and no abutter that has hired attorney Silas Little.

Thank you,

Emily Sliviak

5 Old Revolutionary Rd

Temple, NH 03084